

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,524	03/26/2004	John W. Guerin	5085-0001	1200
28777	7590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL L. DIAZ, P.C. 555 REPUBLIC DRIVE, SUITE 200 PIANO, TX 75074			ROWAN, KURT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicantics				
	Application No. 10/810,524	Applicant(s) GUERIN, JOHN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Rowan	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ICNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 3102005				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 9-10 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the tail alone could be used with other and materially different fishing lures such as jigs rather than a lipless crank bait.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9-10 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pond.

The patent to Pond shows a crank bait fishing lure 10 having a main body 12, an eye 20, and a bill 38. Pond shows a tail 24 attached to the crank bait. Pond shows the tail having a substantially concentric base 24, and a plurality of strands (not labeled) affixed

Application/Control Number: 10/810,524 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

to the concentric base. The concentric base is affixed through the eye to the crank bait and said tail providing a counteracting movement from the main body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pond as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Messacar.

The patents to Pond and Messacar show fishing lures. Pond has been discussed above and does not show the concentric base having elastomeric properties and being enlarged to accommodate passing over the eye and constricted upon the eye thereby holding the concentric base in place upon the eye. The patent to Messacar shows a fishing lure 1 having a tail 24 with a concentric base 25 having elastomeric properties 26 for sliding over the base 27 of the lure body as shown in Fig. 6. In reference to claims 2 and 6, it would have been obvious to provide Pond with a removable tail as shown by Messacar for the purpose of changing the tail color during fishing as disclosed in column 2, lines 15-40.

6. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pond as modified by Messacar as applied to claims 2 and 6 above, and further in view of Accetta.

Art Unit: 3643

The patents to Pond, Messacar, and Accetta show fishing lures. Pond and Messacar have been discussed above and do not show a split ring hook attachment. Accetta shows a fishing lure in Fig. 2 with a split ring 33 and hook 30, 31 attached thereto. In reference to claims 3 and 7, it would have been obvious to provide the lure of Pond as modified by Messacar with a split ring hook attachment as shown by Accetta for the purpose of changing the spoon 27 and hooks as disclosed in page 1, column 2, lines 39-49.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 108 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poona can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR